

Institute of Education, UCL, 20 Bedford Way, Room W3.01 Co-hosted by the London School of Economics & Political Science, Imperial College London and University College London.

Title of Abstract:	Lessons learned from academics' experiences of emergency remote
	teaching
Presenters (lead & co-	Dr Timos Almpanis
presenters)	Dr Paul Joseph-Richard
Institution	Kingston University
Format	Research paper or work in progress
Abstract	This talk will report on the findings of a qualitative study that explored academics' experiences of remote teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic. The UK Professional Standards Framework has been used as a lens through which to bring to light ten academics' experiences of adapting their teaching and assessment strategies to address the challenges faced. The five themes that emerged from the research were the following: 1 Swift, surface-level adaptation in designing and planning lessons. 2 Synchronous/asynchronous delivery of past materials. 3 Implementing alternative online assessments. 4 Increased levels of support for the 'connected, but disengaged' students. 5 The emergence of a 'learning' practitioner. The above themes as well as the lessons learned about the effective convergence of technology and pedagogy will be discussed so that any future crisis can be turned into an opportunity. Two important questions that have arisen from this research will be opened-up for discussion during the session: What is the right balance between synchronous and asynchronous online learning and support and how these modes are best integrated with campus-based activities? What have we learned from alternative assessment design during the pandemic and how can we ensure that what has been learned is not
	with campus-based activities? What have we learned from alternative assessment design during the

References

Almpanis, T. & Joseph-Richard, P. (2022) Lecturing from home: Exploring academics' experiences of remote teaching during a pandemic, International Journal of Educational Research Open, Volume 3, 2022, 100133, ISSN 2666-3740,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2022.100133

Baume, D. (2020). Assessment when conventional examinations are not possible. Centre for distance education, University of London. https://london.ac.uk/sites/default/files/cde/assessment-when-conventional-exams-not-possible_SB_3%20%281%29.pdf Hatzipanagos, S., Tait, A. & Amrane-Cooper, L. (2020). Towards a post Covid-19 digital authentic assessment practice: when radical changes enhance the student experience. European distance e-learning network (EDEN) Proceedings 2020 Research workshop, Lisbon, 21-23 October 2020

Laurillard, D. (2012). Teaching as a Design Science: Building Pedagogical Patterns for Learning and Technology. Routledge.

Yamagata-Lynch, L. (2014). Blending Online Asynchronous and Synchronous Learning. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 15(2), 189–212.

https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v15i2.1778

Yang, B. & Huang, C. (2021). Turn crisis into opportunity in response to Covid-19: Experience from a Chinese University and future prospects. Studies in Higher Education, 46(1), 121-132.

https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2020.1859687



Institute of Education, UCL, 20 Bedford Way, Room W3.01 Co-hosted by the London School of Economics & Political Science, Imperial College London and University College London.

Title of Abstract:	Being in two places at the same time: Identifying opportunities and
	challenges in simultaneous hybrid learning spaces
Presenters (lead & co-	Khristin Fabian
presenters)	Ella Taylor-Smith; Sally Smith; Debbie Meharg
Institution	Edinburgh Napier University
Format	Research paper or work in progress
Abstract	Hybrid learning has been adopted to accommodate face-to-face teaching and online learning simultaneously as universities transition back to on-campus delivery. With lecturers and two groups of students occupying different learning spaces at the same time, our study aims to find out the challenges and opportunities of this mode of delivery. We observed four computing classes and conducted student and lecturer interviews. Observation data identified the challenges and opportunities of hybrid delivery. The lecturer interviews have so far been positive and highlighted the advantages and disadvantages of delivering online and face-to-face simultaneously. However, transitioning to this teaching space required lecturer familiarisation with the technology and modality. Student feedback on hybrid delivery has been positive, highlighting the flexibility it provides them. The convenience that online learning offers in combination with the practicality of the face-to-face environment has been appreciated by the students. This presentation shares the research to date. As we continue to explore this new environment, we would like to seek feedback from conference attendees about their experience of the hybrid learning space and their own views about its delivery. At a time of change, it is important to capture stakeholder views for an inclusive curriculum development.
References	
	Monk, E. F., Guidry, K. R., Pusecker, K. L., & Ilvento, T. W. (2020). Blended learning in computing education: It's here but does it work?

Education and Information Technologies, 25(1), 83–104.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09920-4

Raes, A., Detienne, L., Windey, I., & Depaepe, F. (2020). A systematic literature review on synchronous hybrid learning: gaps identified.

Learning Environments Research, 23(3), 269–290.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-019-09303-z

Smith, M. K., Jones, F. H., Gilbert, S. L., & Wieman, C. E. (2013). The Classroom Observation Protocol for Undergraduate STEM (COPUS): A new instrument to characterize university STEM classroom practices. CBE—Life Sciences Education, 12(4), 618-627.

Xiao, J., Sun-Lin, H., Lin, T., Li, M., Pan, Z., & Cheng, H. (2020). What makes learners a good fit for hybrid learning? Learning competences as predictors of experience and satisfaction in hybrid learning space. British Journal of Educational Technology, 51(4), 1203–1219.

https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12949



Institute of Education, UCL, 20 Bedford Way, Room W3.01 Co-hosted by the London School of Economics & Political Science, Imperial College London and University College London.

Title of Abstract:	The Intercultural Communication Skills (ICC) project and assessment
	design
Presenters (lead & co-	Silvia Colaiacomo
presenters)	Amy Lourenco, Lina Kamenova, Zsofia Varga, Weili Zhai, Maise
	Amusategui
Institution	UCL
Format	Workshop
Abstract	The intercultural communication skills (ICC) project aims to bring together different areas of the university (academics, students and professional services) to identify good practice and a common framework to develop intercultural awareness and communication at UCL. The workshop we propose explores inclusive approaches to assessment practice by engaging critically with traditional and broadly used assessment types and the cultural assumptions and constructions that may underpin them. The workshop will support participants' reflection on how to make assessment more inclusive and how to unpack and develop assessment literacy for diverse student cohorts. We will work with different assessment tasks and consider the inclusivity and approachability of briefs and criteria from the perspective of students coming from different backgrounds. We will also reflect on the emotional impact of preparing for high stake assessments and the benefits of enhancing transferrable skills and employability through differentiated assessment tasks. We will also critically evaluate how technology influence students' perception of assessment and how the emotional impact of assessment has been exacerbated during the Covid pandemic. The workshop will be co-run by UCL staff and students collaborating on the ICC project.
References	- Banks, J. A. (2013). The construction and historical development of multicultural education, 1962–2012. Theory into Practice, 52(1), 73–82 Curdt-Christiansen, X. L., Hua, Z., & Wei, L. (2021). Introduction: The changing faces of transnational communities in Britain. International

Journal of the Sociology of Language, 2021 (269), 3-13. doi:10.1515/ijsl-2021-0030 - Morris, C., Milton, E. and Goldstone, R. (2019) Case study: suggesting choice: inclusive assessment processes, Higher Education Pedagogies, 4:1, 435-447, DOI: 10.1080/23752696.2019.1669479 - Spiteri, D. (2017), Multiculturalism, Higher Education and Intercultural Communication, New York: Palgrave MacMillan



Institute of Education, UCL, 20 Bedford Way, Room W3.01 Co-hosted by the London School of Economics & Political Science, Imperial College London and University College London.

Title of Abstract:	Combining architectural designs to create inclusive university campuses for the present society
Presenters (lead & co- presenters)	Yezi Lin Hiral Patel
Institution	Cardiff University
Format	Research paper or work in progress
Abstract	The broader structural issues of equity and justice continue to manifest themselves in higher education, especially when it comes to the disadvantaged groups of people. Architecture has a particular role to play in achieving inclusivity. Hence, inclusivity should be considered in the design of university campuses and buildings to promote a sense of belonging and well-being for all. The design research project is based on Cathays Campus at Cardiff University, considering the current situation of the campus, developing architectural designs to improve and enhance existing buildings empowering the experience of equity and fairness in the university. In this paper, research methods including persona, user journeys, and schedule of accommodations were used to understand the real needs of users and help to define spaces to implement architectural designs.
References	Hodges, Jenna M., and Jonathan S. Gore. "Social connections and wellbeing: With whom do you identify and why?." Psychological Studies 64.4 (2019): 436-446. Jones, Sandra C., et al. "Inclusion, exclusion and isolation of autistic people: Community attitudes and autistic people's experiences." Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders 52.3 (2022): 1131-1142. Leake, David W., and Robert A. Stodden. "Higher education and disability: Past and future of underrepresented populations." Journal of Postsecondary Education and Disability 27.4 (2014): 399-408.

"A World of Inequality", PennState, March 27, 2017, https://sites.psu.edu/inequalitycivicissues/2017/03/27/civic-issues-4-inequality-in-college-admissions/.

Charmarkeh, Houssein. "Seniors and technologies: Issues of inclusion and exclusion." Canadian Journal of Communication 42.2 (2017): 189.



Institute of Education, UCL, 20 Bedford Way, Room W3.01 Co-hosted by the London School of Economics & Political Science, Imperial College London and University College London.

Title of Abstract:	Co-production of a strategic technology roadmap to support teaching
	and learning
Presenters (lead & co-	Dr Alison Purvis
presenters)	Dave Thornley
Institution	Sheffield Hallam University
Format	Research paper or work in progress
Abstract	Our research developed, describe, and evaluate a co-production approach to strategic implementation of technology in a UK Higher Education Institution. In previous academic years, the digital and technology service undertook an annual call for technology hardware and software. Requests were scrutinised by senior staff from professional services and academic areas. What this approach lacked was a strategic view of the development and implementation of technology across a time span greater than the immediate next year, and the connection to learning, teaching and assessment development. As traditional IT services change into more sophisticated digital technology services, a more considered approach to planning technology purchasing, implementing, and decommissioning is necessary. Through a collaborative approach, a 3-year departmental roadmap was created. We will share our experiences of developing this new approach and share our recommendations for collaborative practice between academics and digital technology professionals in higher education.
References	Baum, F., MacDougall, C., & Smith, D. (2006). Participatory action research. Journal of epidemiology and community health, 60 (10), 854–857. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2004.028662 Crawford, J., Butler-Henderson, K., Rudolph, J., Malkawi, B., Glowatz, M., Burton, R., Magni, P.A., & Lam, S. (2020). COVID-19: 20 countries' higher education intra-period digital pedagogy responses. Journal of

Applied Learning and Teaching, 3, 1. Available online at:

https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2020.3.1.7

Kemmis, S., McTaggart, R., and Nixon, R., (2013). The Action Research Planner: Doing Critical Participatory Action Research. Singapore: Springer Singapore.

Mercer-Mapstone, L., Dvorakova, S. L., Matthews, K. E., Abbot, S., Cheng, B., Felten, P., Knorr, K., Marquis, E., Shammas, R., & Swaim, K. (2017). A Systematic Literature Review of Students as Partners in Higher Education. International Journal for Students as Partners, 1(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.15173/ijsap.v1i1.3119

Lee, S.M., Olson, D.L., Trimi, S. (2012) Co-innovation: convergenomics, collaboration, and co-creation for organizational values. Management Decision, 50, (5), 817-831. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741211227528

Verschuere, B., Brandsen, T., and Pestoff, V. (2012) Co-production: The State of the Art in Research and the Future Agenda. VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations, 23:1083–1101 DOI https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-012-9307-8



Institute of Education, UCL, 20 Bedford Way, Room W3.01 Co-hosted by the London School of Economics & Political Science, Imperial College London and University College London.

Title of Abstract:	Tackling Misogyny and Gender Based Violence: Developing a cross collaborative approach at UCL Medical School
Presenters (lead & co- presenters)	Rima Chakrabarti William Braithwaite, Emer Daly, Beth Hayes, Moni Sandhu, Natalia Zernicka-Glover
Institution	UCL Medical School
Format	Research paper or work in progress
Abstract	Increasingly, several higher education institutions, including UCL Medical School (UCLMS) have been challenged on their role in normalising androcentric practice, misogyny and Gender Based Violence (GBV). As part of their response, UCLMS published its inaugural strategic plan in August 2021, focusing on two key aspects; creating 'open discussions' and 'raising concerns'. While multiple stakeholders were involved; the Student Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (EDI) Committee were vital for ensuring that the response remained student-centred, with a key aspect involving the creation of the Women and Non-binary Students' network. By focusing on the central issues pertaining to gender bias in medicine, this network has provided an alternative platform to for an intersectional exploration of diversity and inclusivity within medicine. This has included using social media, infographics and hybrid events in a 'Question Time' format involving an expert panel. By harnessing the latest technologies, this network has provided a mechanism for empowering students to gain awareness of the impact of gender bias on both clinicians and patients alike. Such discussions are vital to challenge the misogynistic cultures that continue to prevail in the clinical environment and medical research despite a predominantly female orientated workforce.
References	Universities. 2017. Available from https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/61168/1/Donaldson_etal_GBV_2017_Preventing_gender_based_violence_in_UK_universities.pdf Accessed 3rd April 2022.

2 Bondestam F, Lundqvist M. Sexual harassment in higher education – a systematic review. European Journal of Higher Education. 2020: 10:4, 397-419. Available from DOI: 10.1080/21568235.2020.1729833. Accessed 7th April 2022

3Pew Research Center. Defining Generations: Where Millennials end and Generation Z begins. Available from

https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/01/17/where-millennials-end-and-generation-z-begins/

Accessed 6th April 2022

4 British Medical Association (BMA) Sexism in medicine. 2021. Available from

https://www.bma.org.uk/media/4487/sexism-in-medicine-bma-report.pdf

Accessed 6th April 2022.



Institute of Education, UCL, 20 Bedford Way, Room W3.01 Co-hosted by the London School of Economics & Political Science, Imperial College London and University College London.

Title of Abstract: Presenters (lead & copresenters) Institution	Creating a city learning network: Building university's connections with local communities Le Ma Le Ma Cardiff University
Format	Research paper or work in progress
Abstract	At a time when public demand for learning is high, our society has failed to provide sufficient public learning spaces for the public. Learning Terminal is a new type of learning space that has emerged from the process of urban spatial renewal. It is a place for learners to learn, communicate and collaborate in the city, and is a physical medium for developing learning attitude, knowledge and skills. Learning Terminal was designed using Cardiff University and Cardiff Capital City Region as a pilot. The experimental design of the Learning Terminal was developed through creating an architectural manifesto. A study of user groups was conducted to explore the interaction between university education and community learning spaces from the perspective of citizens who are not studying at the university as well as university students. The findings and propositions emerging from this design research project suggest the potential of Learning Terminal to fulfil civic mission of universities.
References	LEE, Y, S. and CHOTTENFELD, M, A. 2014. Collaborative Knowledge Creation in the Higher Education Academic Library. Journal of Learning Spaces. Royal Town Planning Institute. 2020. 15 Minute Cities. Available at: https://www.rtpi.org.uk/find-your-rtpi/rtpi-english-regions/rtpi-london/london-calling-newsletter/15-minute-cities20-minute-neighbourhoods/ [Accessed: 20 May 2022] UNESCO Institute for lifelong learning. 2019. Manifesto: Learning Cities for Inclusion. Available at:

https://uil.unesco.org/system/files/iclc2019_medellinmanifesto_final.p df [Accessed: 20 May 2022]

Welsh government. 2019. Cardiff Capital Region Skills Partnership-Employment and Skills Plan 2019-22. Available at:

https://businesswales.gov.wales/skillsgateway/sites/skillsgateway/files/documents/2020_02_005%20Cardiff%20Capital%20Region%20Skills%20Partnership%20Employment%20and%20Skills%20Plan%202019%20%202022..pdf [Accessed: 15 May 2022]



Institute of Education, UCL, 20 Bedford Way, Room W3.01 Co-hosted by the London School of Economics & Political Science, Imperial College London and University College London.

Title of Abstract:	A Return to Teaching Here And There: Discussions on Hybrid Teaching
Presenters (lead & copresenters)	Dominic Pates Dr Ivan Sikora, James Rutherford
Institution	City, University of London
Format	Field report
Abstract	Hybrid teaching, the multi-modal educational model where students (and sometimes teachers) can be either online or in-room at the same time, has been utilised in niche higher educational contexts for more than a decade (Beatty, 2019). However, it has grown considerably in practice since the lifting of pandemic-related lockdowns for universities (Pelletier et al, 2022). 'Teaching Here And There' (THAT), a podcast about emerging practice in hybrid teaching was launched online at APT2021. This year, THAT podcast returns to share the lessons we've learned so far from our conversations with academics, technologists and others that are helping to shape new ways of teaching and learning in higher education. We also seek to harvest thoughts and opinions from delegates in order to create a special APT episode of the podcast. Come to this session prepared to voice your perspectives on hybrid teaching and bring a smartphone.
References	Beatty, B. J. (2019). Hybrid-Flexible Course Design (1st ed.). EdTech Books. https://edtechbooks.org/hyflex Bower, M., Kennedy, G., Dalgarno, B., Lee, M. J. W., Kenney, J. (2014). Blended synchronous learning: a handbook for educators. Sydney, N.S.W. Office for Learning and Teaching, Department of Education. Hastie, M., Hung, I-C., Chen, N-S., Kinshuk. (2010). A blended synchronous learning model for educational international collaboration, Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 47:1, 9-24, DOI: 10.1080/14703290903525812

Pelletier, K., McCormack, M., Reeves, J., Robert, J., Arbino, N. (2022). 2022 EDUCAUSE Horizon Report, Teaching and Learning Edition (Boulder, CO: EDUCAUSE, 2022).

Rutherford, J. (2021). Hybrid Teaching - Fight or Flight? Inavate. https://www.inavateonthenet.net/news/article/guest-column-james-rutherford-university-of-london-on-hybrid-teaching--fight-or-flight Sikora, I., Pates, D., (2021) Blended Learning to Fly, New Vistas 7(1), p.8-13. doi: https://doi.org/10.36828/newvistas.100